Classification of Audit Recommendations

Recommendation	Description
High Risk	Action by the client that we consider essential to ensure that
	the service / system is not exposed to major risks .
Medium Risk	Action by the client that we consider necessary to ensure that
	the service / system is not exposed to significant risks .
Low Risk	Action by the client that we consider advisable to ensure that
	the service / system is not exposed to minor risks .
Good Practice	Action by the client where we consider no risks exist but
	would result in better quality, value for money etc.

Audit Assurance Levels

Assurance Level	Basis	Description
High Assurance	Recommendations for ineffective controls affecting the material areas of the service are not High or Medium Risk. Any recommendations are mainly Good Practice with few Low Risk recommendations.	There is a sound system of internal control designed to achieve the system objectives and the controls are being consistently applied.
Substantial Assurance	Recommendations for ineffective controls affecting the material areas of the service are not High Risk. Occasional Medium Risk recommendations allowed provided all others are Low Risk or Good Practice.	There is a sound system of internal control but there is some scope for improvement as the ineffective controls may put the system objectives at risk.
Moderate Assurance	Recommendations for ineffective controls affecting the material areas of the service are at least Medium Risk.	The ineffective controls represent a significant risk to the achievement of system objectives.
Limited Assurance	Recommendations for ineffective controls affecting the material areas of the service are High Risk.	The ineffective controls represent unacceptable risk to the achievement of the system objectives.

SWANSEA COUNCIL MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN DESTINATION LETTINGS 2022/23

REPORT REF	RECOMMENDATION	CLASS (HR; MR; LR; GP)	AGREED ACTION/ COMMENTS	RESPONSIBILITY FOR IMPLEMENTATION	IMPLEMENTATION DATE				
Mumbles Hill Caravan Park									
2.1.2	Licences should be obtained from all licensees. (Previous Recommendation)	LR	New online licence agreement system been looked at with view to be in place in readiness for next season. Discussions with officers and webpage colleagues to ensure system is able to flag non return of licence.	Destination Coordinator	March 2023				
2.1.3	Where a Licensee no longer wishes to retain their plot, it should revert to the Council for allocation to applicants on the site waiting list.	LR	Agreed.	Destination Coordinator	October 2022				
2.1.4	a) Invoice 60371886 should be cancelled.	GP	Agreed.	Destination Coordinator / Lettings Team	October 2022				
2.1.4	b) Care should be taken to ensure all licensees are invoiced as required.	MR	Agreed.	Destination Coordinator / Lettings Team	September 2022				
2.1.5		HR			September 2022				

REPORT REF	RECOMMENDATION	CLASS (HR; MR; LR; GP)	AGREED ACTION/ COMMENTS	RESPONSIBILITY FOR IMPLEMENTATION	IMPLEMENTATION DATE
	Licences should not be renewed if there are significant arrears from previous years. (Previous Recommendation)		AR Transactions and debt recovery together with officers confirm that all debts, other than 1, are now repaid or have repayment schemes in place. Regarding the large outstanding debt this is now being taken to court and the tenant has legally as of 15/9/22 been asked to remove their caravan off site. There have been ongoing discussions with legal and debt recovery over a number of months regarding this debt. Officers will now look at ensuring a hard system of recovery and to be able to get Legal involved at an early stage and agreed that licences would be cancelled and would not continue into next licence period if a similar situation was to arise in the future.	Destination Coordinator	
Langland Beach Huts					
2.2.3	Evidence of the draw should be retained. If the draw is carried out using Microsoft Teams then the call should be recorded.	LR	Agreed that the draw in future will be recorded.	Destination Coordinator / Lettings Team	January 2023